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Since 1925, Republicans and Democrats have controlled the presidency for roughly 44 years each. Here's a thought experiment: Imagine that you invested in the market under one party, but not the other. If market movements are a reflection of investor confidence in the economy, then under which party did the market perform best over the past 88 years?

As of October 31, 2012, a $\$ 10,000$ investment in the Standard \&Poor's stock market index would have grown to $\$ 25,775$ if invested under Republican presidents only. That is a $\$ 15,775$ gain-or a $2.2 \%$ average annualized return over 44 years. With Democratic presidents, $\$ 10,000$ would have grown to $\$ 527,303$ at a compound rate of $9.5 \%$ over 43.7 years.



REPUBLICANS

$3 / 4 / 25-3 / 4 / 29 \quad 1 / 20 / 89-1 / 20 / 93 \quad 1 / 20 / 53-1 / 20 / 61 \quad 8 / 9 / 74-1 / 20 / 77 \quad 1 / 20 / 81-1 / 20 / 89$


Richard
Nixon
1/20/69-8/9/74 George W. Bush
1/20/01-1/20/09


[^0]Tommy McCall is the founder of Infographics.com, a data visualization agency in New York City, and was the former information graphics editor of Money Magazine. The 2008 version of this graphic appeared on the New York Times Op-Ed page October 14th, 2008.

Herbert Hoover


[^0]:    Returns were calculated using daily S.\& P. closing price historical data by investing in the market the day a president took office and selling on the last full day he was in office. For Calvin Coolidge, the return was based on monthly data.
    *The S.\&P. market index was the S.\&P. 90 before March 4, 1957, and the S.\&P. 500 afterward. **Excludes dividends.
    Sources: Bloomberg Financial Markets, Google Finance and Robert J. Shiller.

